

Shiomoto, Jean M.@DMV

From: Yonkers, Steve <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 5:28 AM
To: Shiomoto, Jean M.@DMV
Cc: Goo, Wesley@DMV; Kozar, Steven
Subject: RE: Wisconsin - Proof of Principal Residence

Hi Jean,

The practice of using the mailing of all products with return service requested as the second proof of principal address is acceptable. If you have any further questions, please let me know.

R,

Steve

Steve Yonkers
Director
Identity and Credentialing/REAL ID Program
Screening Coordination Office, DHS PLCY
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
[REDACTED]

From: Shiomoto, Jean M.@DMV [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 3:11 PM
To: Yonkers, Steve <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Goo, Wesley@DMV <[REDACTED]>
Subject: FW: Wisconsin - Proof of Principal Residence

Steve,

As I shared at the AAMVA Region IV Conference in Seattle, see first email from Selden Biggs to Wisconsin regarding Proof of Residence and requiring one residency document. California is working on regulations for a federal compliant card and would like to require one residency document the same as Wisconsin.

The California DMV centrally issues DL/IDs and we mail all products with return service requested can be deemed the second proof of principal address. By having return service on these products, no products are delivered to an address whereby the specific customer is not recognized as an inhabitant. The mail is not forwarded to any other addresses on file with the Post Office.

Thank you for consideration of this.

Jean Shiomoto

From: Boardman, Kristina - DOT [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 2:54 PM

To: Shiomoto, Jean M. @DMV
Subject: FW: Wisconsin - Proof of Principal Residence

Jean:

Hope you had a safe trip back to CA – nice to see you this week at the AAMVA Workshop!

Pursuant to our conversation, I just wanted to provide you with the e-mail I received from Selden Biggs (in 2012), confirming that our central mailing of DL/ID products – with return service requested – meets the REAL ID rule requirements for the 2nd proof of address.

Let me know if you have any other questions.

See you at the Board meeting in April.

Kristina

Kristina H. Boardman | Wisconsin Department of Transportation
Division of Motor Vehicles Administrator



From: Biggs, Selden [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 9:59 AM
To: Boardman, Kristina - DOT <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Fernan, Patrick - DOT <[REDACTED]>; Switzer, Aileen - DOT <[REDACTED]>; Kozar, Steven <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Wisconsin - Proof of Principal Residence

Ms Boardman,

Yes, we confirm that the procedure you describe meets the regulatory standard for demonstrating address of principal residence.

We are looking forward to receiving your certification package.

If you have any additional questions, please contact Steve Kozar or me at any time.

Thank you again,

Selden

Selden Biggs
Director, Office of State-Issued ID Support
Office of Policy/Screening Coordination Office
Department of Homeland Security

From: Boardman, Kristina - DOT [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 10, 2012 12:02 PM
To: Biggs, Selden
Cc: Fernan, Patrick - DOT; Switzer, Aileen - DOT
Subject: Wisconsin - Proof of Principal Residence

Mr. Biggs:

Wisconsin DMV is finalizing the implementation of the REAL ID requirements, and will be submitting the compliance package to DHS for review in early October 2012.

As we complete this process, and educate all staff on the new and specific requirements, we have one question regarding our interpretation of the State's choice of documents demonstrating address of principal residence (37.11(f)).

We are planning to collect and scan one document demonstrating proof of principal address (from a prescribed list of acceptable documents). We are hoping that the fact that we mail all products with return service requested can be deemed the second proof of principal address. By having return service on these products, no products are delivered to an address whereby the specific customer is not recognized as an inhabitant. The mail is not forwarded to any other addresses on file with the Post Office.

If you can please confirm that this assumption is an appropriate interpretation of the rules, we will update our compliance paperwork accordingly.

Thank you so much in advance for your assistance.

Kristina Boardman
Director, Bureau of Field Services
WisDOT - DMV
[REDACTED]